arXiv:1605.04017v1 [math.PR] 13 May 2016

VARIANCE OF RESISTANCE OF "LINE-CIRCLE-LINE" GRAPHS

ALON IVTSAN

ABSTRACT. We find the order of the variance of the growth model $X_{n+1} = X_n + X'_n + f\left(X''_n, X'''_n\right)$, where all the variables X_n, X'_n, X''_n and X'''_n are i.i.d., X_0 takes the values 1 and 2 with equal probability and f is positive, monotone non-decreasing and satisfies conditions which, roughly speaking, pertain to its first and second order partial derivatives. For an appropriate choice of f we obtain that the variance of the effective resistance between the endpoints of the "line-circle-line" graph G_n is of order $\left(2 + \frac{1}{8} + o\left(1\right)\right)^n$.

We define a sequence of recursively-defined graphs $\{G_i\}$ which we call the "line-circle-line" sequence as follows: G_1 is pictured below, and G_{k+1} is obtained by replacing each edge of G_k by G_1 or, alternatively, by connecting three blocks in series: the first and last consist of G_k and the middle block is two copies of G_k connected in parallel.



In our theorem, one chooses a positive monotone non-decreasing (with respect to the partial order in $\mathbb{R}^2$) function f which, slightly informally, satisfies technical conditions pertaining to its first and second order partial derivatives and one observes the growth model $X_{n+1} = X_n + X_n' + f\left(X_n'', X_n'''\right)$, where all the variables X_n, X_n', X_n'' and X_n''' are independent and identically distributed and X_0 takes the values 1 and 2 with equal probability. Our theorem tells us the order of the variance of X_n and shows that a renormalized version of it converges to a normal random variable. If we select $f(t,s) = \frac{ts}{t+s}$, then X_n denotes the effective resistance between the endpoints of G_n (henceforth the resistance of G_n), and thus our theorem tells us that the variance of the resistance of G_n is of order $\left(2 + \frac{1}{8} + o\left(1\right)\right)^n$. This result can be viewed as a special case of superconcentration as defined by Chaterjee [1]. Probability models on similar recursively defined graphs have been studied before, see e.g. works by Hambley and Kumagai [2] and by Khristoforov, Kleptsyn and Triestino [3]. The variance of the effective resistance on a different graph, the d-dimensional Torus, can be found in [4]. We finish this paper by analysing another choice of f, this time $f(t,s) = t^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}}$, since it satisfies a variant of one of the conditions of our theorem but does not satisfy the original condition of the theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let X_0 be a random variable which takes the values 1 and 2 with probability one half and let X_{n+1} be defined via the recurrence relation $X_{n+1} = X_n + X_n' + f\left(X_n'', X_n'''\right)$, where all the variables X_n, X_n', X_n'' and X_n''' are independent and identically distributed and f is a positive function on $[1,\infty)\times[1,\infty)$ with second order partial derivatives at every point which is also monotone non-decreasing in the following sense: $f(a,b) \leq f(c,d)$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

1. $\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial i}\right|_{(t,t)} \xrightarrow{t\to\infty} C_i$ *for $i \in \{x,y\}$ and $0 < C_x + C_y$ and $f(t,t) = (C_x + C_y)\, t$.*
2. $\left(f(a_1,a_2) - f(a_3,a_4)\right)^2 \leq A\left(a_1 - a_3\right)^2 + B\left(a_2 - a_4\right)^2$ *holds for $A, B \geq 0$ which satisfy $A + B < C_x + C_y$ and for all (a_1,a_2) and (a_3,a_4) belonging to the domain $\{(s,t) |\, (2 + C_x + C_y)^n \leq s,t \leq 2\,(2 + C_x + C_y)^n\}$ for n sufficiently large.*
3. $(2 + C_x + C_y)^{2n} \sup g^2 = o\left(2^n\right)$ *holds for $g \in \{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}, \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}\}$ and n sufficiently large, where the supremum is taken over the same domain as in condition 2.*

Then

1. $\mathrm{Var}\left[X_n\right] = \left(2 + C_x^2 + C_y^2 + o\left(1\right)\right)^n$.
2. $\frac{X_n - \mathbb{E}[X_n]}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}[X_n]}}$ *converges in probability to a standard normal random variable.*
3. *If in addition f is either concave or convex then $\frac{\mathbb{E}[X_n]}{(2+C_x+C_y)^n}$ converges.*

Some remarks:

1. Note that if $f(t,t) = Ct$, then clearly $C = C_x + C_y$, since if we write $g(t) = f(t,t)$ then $C = g'(t) = \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(t,t)} + \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right|_{(t,t)} \xrightarrow{t\to\infty} C_x + C_y$.
2. $C_x + C_y < 1$ follows from condition 2. If we write $g(t) = f(t,t)$ then $\left(g\left(t\right) - g\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)^2 = \left(g'\left(s\left(t\right)\right)\right)^2 \frac{t^2}{4}$ for some $s(t) \in \left[\frac{t}{2}, t\right]$ and thus, by condition 2, $A + B \geq \left(g'\left(s\left(t_k\right)\right)\right)^2 \xrightarrow{t_k\to\infty} \left(C_x + C_y\right)^2$ (we replace t by a sequence

t_k which satisfies the additional domain restriction). Since by condition 2 $A+B<C_x+C_y$, we obtain that $C_x+C_y<1$.

(3) If we can find constants A and B which satisfy $\left(f\left(a_1,a_2\right)-f\left(a_3,a_4\right)\right)^2 \leq A\left(a_1-a_3\right)^2+B\left(a_2-a_4\right)^2$ but not $A+B<C_x+C_y$, then condition 2 holds for εf for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, so we can apply this theorem for εf instead of for f.

(4) Let A_1 and B_1 be new constants for which the inequality in condition 2 holds after taking expectation on both sides, where a, b, c and d are replaced by independent copies of X_n. Then (as can be seen in the proof) the result will still hold if we replace in condition 2 the condition $A+B<C_x+C_y$ by the conditions $A_1+B_1<C_x+C_y$ and $2+A^2+B^2<\left(2+A_1+B_1\right)^2$. We show later that this comment can be applied to the function $f(t,s)=t^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

(5) An analogous result for a function which satisfies all the above conditions apart from $f(t,t)=Ct$ can also be obtained. We can also prove the main theorem if we replace f by f_1+f_2, where f_1 satisfies all the conditions f satisfies and f_2 is positive and bounded.

Examples of functions $f(t,s)$ satisfying the conditions: $\frac{ts}{t+s}$ and $c_p\left(t^p+s^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $p>0$ for some $c_p>0$ and $c_\alpha t^\alpha s^{1-\alpha}$ for $\alpha\in(0,1)$ and $c_\alpha>0$. An example which satisfies the second part of remark 5 which is also monotone non-decreasing is $f(t,s)=\frac{1}{3}t+\frac{1}{3}s+\frac{1}{3}\sin^2(t-s)$.

Proof. We denote by $C_i(A,B)$ positive constants that depend only upon A and B and C will denote an absolute constant whose value might change from line to line. From the recurrence relation of X_n, clearly $2\operatorname{Var}\left[X_n\right]\leq\operatorname{Var}\left[X_{n+1}\right]$ and thus $\operatorname{Var}\left[X_n\right]\geq C2^n$. By applying condition 2, we obtain that for n sufficiently large:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{n+1}-X'_{n+1}\right)^4\right]\leq\left(2+A^2+B^2\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_n-X'_n\right)^4\right]+C_0(A,B)\left(\operatorname{Var}\left[X_n\right]\right)^2$$

Since $(2+A^2+B^2)<2^2$ we obtain that:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{n+1}-X'_{n+1}\right)^4\right]\leq C_1(A,B)\left(\operatorname{Var}\left[X_n\right]\right)^2 \tag{1}$$

Since f is monotone, the minimum and maximum values of X_n are obtained by setting initial conditions $X_0=1$ and $X_0=2$ respectively, and thus, since $f(t,t)=\left(C_x+C_y\right)t$, X_n lies between $\left(2+C_x+C_y\right)^n$ and $2\left(2+C_x+C_y\right)^n$. By applying condition 2, we obtain that for n sufficiently large:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{n+1}-X'_{n+1}\right)^2\right]\leq(2+A+B)\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_n-X'_n\right)^2\right],$$

and thus, since $A+B<C_x+C_y$,

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[X_n\right]\leq C\left(2+A+B\right)^n=o\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_n\right]\right).$$

Plugging this into equation 1 yields:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{n+1}-X'_{n+1}\right)^4\right]\leq C_2(A,B)\left(2+A+B\right)^{2n}=o\left(\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_n\right]\right)^2\right). \tag{2}$$

Now, in order to simplify notation, let a_1,a_2,a_3 and a_4 be i.i.d. random variables distributed as X_n. Then

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[X_{n+1}\right]=2\operatorname{Var}\left[X_n\right]+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(f(a_1,a_2)-f(a_3,a_4)\right)^2\right]. \tag{3}$$

We estimate the second term using Taylor expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_1,a_2)-f(a_3,a_4) &= \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)}(a_1-a_3)+\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)}(a_2-a_4) \\ &= \left(\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)}-C_x\right)(a_1-a_3)+C_x(a_1-a_3) \\ &+ \left(\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)}-C_y\right)(a_2-a_4)+C_y(a_2-a_4)\,. \end{aligned}$$

(here (b_1,b_2) belongs to the convex hull of (a_1,a_2) and (a_3,a_4).) Thus, if we use the notation $A_1=\left(\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)}-C_x\right)$, $A_2=\left(\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)}-C_y\right)$, $B_1=C_x$, $B_2=C_y$, $c_1=a_1-a_3$ and $c_2=a_2-a_4$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(f(a_1,a_2)-f(a_3,a_4)\right)^2\right] &= \left(C_x^2+C_y^2\right)\operatorname{Var}\left[X_n\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\mathbb{E}\left[A_i^2c_i^2\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{2}\mathbb{E}\left[A_iB_ic_i^2\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left[A_1B_2c_1c_2\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[A_2B_1c_1c_2\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left[A_1A_2c_1c_2\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Now we show that all the terms on the right hand side of the previous equation aside from the first one are $o\left(1\right)\operatorname{Var}\left[X_n\right]$. We bound the second term. Bounding the other terms is similar, and will follow from perhaps also applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Let $\mu=\mathbb{E}\left[X_n\right]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[A_1^2c_1^2\right] &\leq 2\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)}-\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(\mu,\mu)}\right)^2(a_1-a_3)^2\right] \\ &+ 2\left(\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(\mu,\mu)}-C_x\right)^2\mathbb{E}\left[(a_1-a_3)^2\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side is $o\left(1\right)\operatorname{Var}\left[X_n\right]$ by condition 1. Thus, it suffices to bound the first term on the right hand side. By Taylor's expansion:

$$\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)}-\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(\mu,\mu)}=\left.\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}\right|_{(d_1,d_2)}(b_1-\mu)+\left.\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y}\right|_{(d_1,d_2)}(b_2-\mu)\,.$$

(here (d_1,d_2) belonging to the convex hull of (b_1,b_2) and (μ,μ).) Since b_i is a convex combination of a_i and a_{i+2} for $i=1,2$ the inequality $(b_i-\mu)^2\leq(a_i-\mu)^2+(a_{i+2}-\mu)^2$ holds. To simplify notation, let $A=(2+C_x+C_y)^n$, $B=\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)}-\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(\mu,\mu)}$ and $c_1=a_1-a_3$. Thus, by Cauchy-Schwarz, condition 3 and the inequality

$$2\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_n-\mu\right)^4\right]\leq\mathbb{E}\left[\left(a_1-a_3\right)^4\right]\leq 16\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_n-\mu\right)^4\right]: \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[B^2c_1^2\right] &\leq C_0 \sup_{A\leq t,s\leq 2A}\left[\left(\left.\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}\right|_{(s,t)}\right)^2+\left(\left.\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y}\right|_{(s,t)}\right)^2\right]\mathbb{E}\left[(a_1-a_3)^4\right]\\ &\overset{(*)}{\leq} C_1A^2\sup_{A\leq t,s\leq 2A}\left[\left(\left.\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}\right|_{(s,t)}\right)^2+\left(\left.\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y}\right|_{(s,t)}\right)^2\right]\\ &= o\left(2^n\right)=o\left(1\right)\mathrm{Var}\left[X_n\right].\end{aligned}$$

The inequality marked by $(*)$ follows from the fourth moment bound (see equation (2)). Thus, if we combine the last inequality with equations (3) and (4) we obtain:

$$\mathrm{Var}\left[X_{n+1}\right]=\left(2+C_x^2+C_y^2+o\left(1\right)\right)\mathrm{Var}\left[X_n\right],$$

which completes the proof of the first part.

In order to show the second part we write the recursion relation (here we use the notation $\mu_n=\mathbb{E}\left[X_n\right]$):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{X_{n+1}-\mu_{n+1}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}\left[X_{n+1}\right]}} &= \frac{X_n-\mu_n}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}\left[X_n\right]}}\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{Var}\left[X_n\right]}{\mathrm{Var}\left[X_{n+1}\right]}}+\frac{X_n^{'}-\mu_n}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}\left[X_n\right]}}\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{Var}\left[X_n\right]}{\mathrm{Var}\left[X_{n+1}\right]}}+\\ &\quad\frac{f\left(X_n^{''},X_n^{'''}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_n^{''},X_n^{'''}\right)\right]}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}\left[X_n\right]}}\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{Var}\left[X_n\right]}{\mathrm{Var}\left[X_{n+1}\right]}}\end{aligned}$$

We plug into this the Taylor expansion of f (here (a,b) is in the convex hull of $\left(X_n^{''},X_n^{'''}\right)$ and (μ_n,μ_n)):

$$\begin{aligned}f\left(X_n^{''},X_n^{'''}\right) &= f\left(\mu_n,\mu_n\right)+\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{(\mu_n,\mu_n)}\left(X_n^{''}-\mu_n\right)+\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right|_{(\mu_n,\mu_n)}\left(X_n^{'''}-\mu_n\right)\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}\right|_{(a,b)}\left(X_n^{''}-\mu_n\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}\right|_{(a,b)}\left(X_n^{'''}-\mu_n\right)^2\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\left.\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x\partial y}\right|_{(a,b)}\left(X_n^{''}-\mu_n\right)\left(X_n^{'''}-\mu_n\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now we let $Y_n=\frac{X_n-\mu_n}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}[X_n]}}$ and apply the recursion relation m times to obtain (here $Y_{n-m}^{(i)}$ are independent copies of Y_{n-m}):

$$Y_n=\sum_{i=1}^{4^m}a_{i,n,m}Y_{n-m}^{(i)}+A_{n,m}$$

By condition 1 and the first part of the theorem we obtain that $\sum_{i=1}^{4^m}a_{i,n,m}^2\to 1$ as $n\to\infty$. By condition 3 we can show that $\mathbb{E}\left[|A_{m,n}|\right]\leq\epsilon(n)g(m)$ where $\epsilon(n)$ goes to zero as n grows and $g(m)$ grows in m. Thus if Z is a standard normal random variable and $Z_{m,n}$ is a normal random variable with mean zero and variance

$\sum_{i=1}^{4^m} a_{i,n,m}^2$ then: for each $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(|Y_n - Z| > \delta\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(|\sum_{i=1}^{4^m} a_{i,n,m} Y_{n-m}^{(i)} - Z_{m,n}| > \frac{\delta}{3}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(|Z_{m,n} - Z| > \frac{\delta}{3}\right) \\ &+ \ \mathbb{P}\left(|A_{m,n}| > \frac{\delta}{3}\right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon_1(m) + \varepsilon_2(n) + \mathbb{E}\left[|A_{m,n}|\right]\frac{3}{\delta} \\ &\leq \varepsilon_1(m) + \varepsilon_2(n) + \epsilon_3(n) g(m)\frac{3}{\delta}\end{aligned}$$

Here the notation $\varepsilon(n)$ means that ε tends to zero as n tends to infinity. Taking n, m to infinity at the appropriate rate yields the result. Note that in order to bound $\mathbb{P}\left(|\sum_{i=1}^{4^m} a_{i,n,m} Y_{n-m}^{(i)} - Z_{m,n}| > \frac{\delta}{3}\right)$ we applied Lyaponov CLT with $\delta = 2$ which can be used since

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{4^m} a_{i,n,m}^4}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4^m} a_{i,n,m}^2\right)^2} \leq \frac{\max_i a_{i,n,m}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{4^m} a_{i,n,m}^2} = \frac{\Pi_{k=n-m}^{n-1} \frac{\mathrm{Var}[X_k]}{\mathrm{Var}[X_{k+1}]}}{\sum_{i=1}^{4^m} a_{i,n,m}^2} \to 0$$

as m tends to infinity (the last equality holds for $n - m$ sufficiently large as can be proven by induction for fixed n and increasing m) and in addition $\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{n-m}^4\right]$ is bounded by 1 and 5.

The third part follows from Jensen's inequality. The limit, which lies between 1 and 2 by the remark at the beginning of the proof, can be approximated using Taylor expansion (see examples of specific functions below).

□

Analysis of specific functions

We start with the function $f_1(t,s) = \frac{ts}{t+s}$. X_n has a probability interpretation in this case - it denotes the effective resistance between the endpoints of the "line-circle-line" sequence of graphs, whose definition appears in the introduction to this paper.

For f_1 we have $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} = \frac{s^2}{(t+s)^2}$ and $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial s} = \frac{t^2}{(t+s)^2}$, and thus $C_x = C_y = \frac{1}{4}$ and $f_1(t,t) = \frac{t}{2} = (C_x + C_y)\, t$. We need one of the next two lemmas.

Lemma 1. *For all positive numbers a_1,a_2,a_3 and a_4 satisfying $1/2 \leq \frac{a_i}{a_j} \leq 2$ for $i, j \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ we have*

$$\left(f_1(a_1,a_2) - f_1(a_3,a_4)\right)^2 \leq \frac{20}{81}\left(\left(a_1 - a_3\right)^2 + \left(a_2 - a_4\right)^2\right).$$

Proof. By first order Taylor expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(a_1,a_2) - f_1(a_3,a_4) &= \left.\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)} (a_1 - a_3) + \left.\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)} (a_2 - a_4) \\ &= \left(\frac{b_2}{b_1+b_2}\right)^2 (a_1 - a_3) + \left(\frac{b_1}{b_1+b_2}\right)^2 (a_2 - a_4).\end{aligned}$$

Here (b_1, b_2) is in the convex hull of (a_1, a_2) and (a_3, a_4). If we write $b_i = \lambda a_i + (1-\lambda) a_{i+2}$ for $i = 1, 2$ then:

$$b_1 = \lambda a_1 + (1-\lambda) a_3 \leq \lambda 2a_2 + (1-\lambda) 2a_4 = 2b_2$$

and similarly $b_2 \leq 2b_1$. Thus, if we also apply the convexity of $g(x) = x^2$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_1(a_1, a_2) - f_1(a_3, a_4))^2 &= \left(\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 (a_1 - a_3) + \left(\frac{b_1}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 (a_2 - a_4) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{\left(b_1^2 + b_2^2\right)^2}{(b_1 + b_2)^4} \left(\frac{b_2^2}{b_1^2 + b_2^2} (a_1 - a_3) + \frac{b_1^2}{b_1^2 + b_2^2} (a_2 - a_4) \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{b_1^2 + b_2^2}{(b_1 + b_2)^2} \times \\
&\quad \left(\frac{b_2^2}{(b_1 + b_2)^2} (a_1 - a_3)^2 + \frac{b_1^2}{(b_1 + b_2)^2} (a_2 - a_4)^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \frac{b_1}{b_1 + b_2}$. The inequality $\frac{b_i}{b_j} \leq 2$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ implies $\frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3}$. Thus $\frac{b_1^2 + b_2^2}{(b_1 + b_2)^2} = x^2 + (1-x)^2$. Optimizing over the domain of x yields $\frac{b_1^2 + b_2^2}{(b_1 + b_2)^2} \leq \frac{5}{9}$. Plugging in the bounds completes the first part of proof. □

We can achieve a better bound than the one in the second part of Lemma 1 by a stronger optimization argument.

Lemma 2. *For all positive numbers a_1,a_2,a_3 and a_4 satisfying $1/2 \leq \frac{a_i}{a_j} \leq 2$ for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ we have*

$$(f_1(a_1, a_2) - f_1(a_3, a_4))^2 \leq \frac{17}{81} \left((a_1 - a_3)^2 + (a_2 - a_4)^2 \right).$$

Proof. The inequality obviously holds whenever $a_1 = a_3$ and $a_2 = a_4$. Thus, we can write $(a_1 - a_3) = m(a_2 - a_4)$ or $(a_2 - a_4) = m(a_1 - a_3)$ for some $|m| \leq 1$. We may assume that $(a_2 - a_4) = m(a_1 - a_3)$ (if not since $f_1(t, s) = f_1(s, t)$ we can replace $a_1 \leftrightarrow a_2$ and $a_3 \leftrightarrow a_4$). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_1(a_1, a_2) - f_1(a_3, a_4))^2 &= \left(\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 (a_1 - a_3) + \left(\frac{b_1}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 (a_2 - a_4) \right)^2 \\
&= \left(\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 + m \left(\frac{b_1}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 \right)^2 (a_1 - a_3)^2 \\
&= \left(\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 + m \left(\frac{b_1}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 \right)^2 \times \\
&\quad \frac{1}{1 + m^2} \left((a_1 - a_3)^2 + (a_2 - a_4)^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to take $0 \leq m \leq 1$ since

$$\left(\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 + m \left(\frac{b_1}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 \right)^2 \leq \left(\left(\frac{b_2}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 + |m| \left(\frac{b_1}{b_1 + b_2} \right)^2 \right)^2.$$

Let $x = \frac{b_2}{b_1+b_2}$. Then, as shown in the previous lemma, $x \in \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$. Since for each m the function $\varphi_m(s) = s^2 + m(1-s)^2$ is bounded by $4/9 + (1/9)m$ whenever $1/3 \leq s \leq 2/3$, we conclude that:

$$(f_1(a_1, a_2) - f_1(a_3, a_4))^2 \leq \frac{1}{81}\frac{(4+m)^2}{1+m^2}\left((a_1-a_3)^2 + (a_2-a_4)^2\right).$$

Note that the function $\phi(m) = \frac{(4+m)^2}{1+m^2}$ achieves it's maximum in the interval $[0,1]$ at $m = 1/4$ which completes the proof. The bound is tight, which we can see if we set $a_2 = 2$, $a_4 = 2 - \varepsilon/4$, $a_1 = 1+\varepsilon$ and $a_3 = 1$ and let $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. □

If we apply (for instance) Lemma 2 we obtain $A = B = \frac{17}{81}$ and thus $A + B = \frac{34}{81} < \frac{1}{2} = C_x + C_y$. The other conditions are easy to verify and follow from the second moment terms $\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial t^2} = (-2)\frac{s^2}{(t+s)^3}$, $\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial t \partial s} = 2\frac{ts}{(t+s)^3}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial s^2} = (-2)\frac{t^2}{(t+s)^3}$.

Expectation bounds for X_n for the choice $f_1 = \frac{ts}{t+s}$

We show lower and upper expectation bounds for X_n.

The upper bound follows from applying to the recurrence relation the fact that the harmonic mean is bounded above by the arithmetic mean (or by concavity of f). This yields $\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}) \leq 2.5\mathbb{E}(X_n)$ and also that $\frac{1}{2.5^n}\mathbb{E}(X_n)$ converges. Now if one calculates the value of $\mathbb{E}(X_i)$ for some i explicitly one obtains an upper bound. The simple calculation of $\mathbb{E}(X_0)$ yields $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \leq 1.5 \times 2.5^n$. The calculation of $\mathbb{E}(X_1)$ only provides a slight improvement: $\mathbb{E}(X_n) \leq \frac{89}{60} \times 2.5^n$. For the lower bound we note that $\left|\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial t^2}\right| = \left|2\frac{s^2}{(t+s)^3}\right| \leq \frac{8}{27}\frac{1}{2.5^n}$, $\left|\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial t \partial s}\right| = \left|2\frac{ts}{(t+s)^3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2.5^n}$ and $\left|\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial s^2}\right| = \left|2\frac{t^2}{(t+s)^3}\right| \leq \frac{8}{27}\frac{1}{2.5^n}$ whenever $2.5^n \leq s, t \leq 2 \times 2.5^n$. Thus, for every $2.5^n \leq s, t, a \leq 2 \times 2.5^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t,s) \geq\ & f_1(b,b) + \frac{1}{4}(t-b) + \frac{1}{4}(s-b) \\ & -\frac{4}{27}\frac{1}{2.5^n}(t-b)^2 - \frac{4}{27}\frac{1}{2.5^n}(s-b)^2 - \frac{1}{8}\frac{1}{2.5^n}|t-b|\,|s-b|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if we replace t and s by two independent copies of X_n and b by $\mathbb{E}[X_n]$ and apply Lemma 2 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}] &\geq 2.5\mathbb{E}[X_n] - \frac{91}{216}\frac{1}{2.5^n}\operatorname{Var}[X_n] \\ &\geq 2.5\mathbb{E}[X_n] - \frac{91}{216}\frac{\left(2+\frac{17}{81}\right)^n}{2.5^n}\operatorname{Var}[X_0] \\ &\geq 2.5^{n+1}\mathbb{E}[X_0] - \frac{91}{216}\operatorname{Var}[X_0]\sum_{k=0}^{n} 2.5^k \frac{\left(2+\frac{17}{81}\right)^{n-k}}{2.5^{n-k}} \\ &\geq 2.5^{n+1}\left[\mathbb{E}[X_0] - \frac{91}{216}\frac{2.5}{2.5^2 - 2 - \frac{17}{81}}\operatorname{Var}[X_0]\right] \end{aligned}$$

By plugging in the values of $\operatorname{Var}[X_0]$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_0]$ we obtain $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \geq 1.43 \times 2.5^n$.

Another example - $f_2(t,s) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{\frac{1}{2}}$

We now turn to $f_2(t,s) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{\frac{1}{2}}$. As mentioned before, this example satisfies a variant of condition 2 of our theorem presented in remark 4 but does not satisfy condition 2 itself. First, $\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}s^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2}s^{-\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and thus $C_x = C_y = \frac{1}{2}$. By the recurrence relation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}] &= 2\mathbb{E}[X_n] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{X_n}\sqrt{X_n'}\right] \\ &= 2\mathbb{E}[X_n] + \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{X_n}\right]\right)^2 \\ &\leq 3\mathbb{E}[X_n]\end{aligned}$$

and thus $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \leq 3^n\mathbb{E}[X_0] = 1.5 \times 3^n$ (and also $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \leq 3^{n-1}\mathbb{E}[X_1] \leq 1.49 \times 3^n$) and also $\frac{1}{3^n}\mathbb{E}(X_n)$ converges. Recall also that, as shown in the main theorem, all independent copies of X_n lie between 3^n and 2×3^n. Let a_1, a_2, a_3 and a_4 be i.i.d. distributed as X_n. By Taylor expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(f_2(a_1,a_2) - f_2(a_3,a_4)\right)^2 &= \left(\left.\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)}(a_1-a_3) + \left.\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y}\right|_{(b_1,b_2)}(a_2-a_4)\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}b_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}b_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_1-a_3) + \frac{1}{2}b_1^{\frac{1}{2}}b_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a_2-a_4)\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{b_2}{b_1}(a_1-a_3)^2 + \frac{b_1}{b_2}(a_2-a_4)^2\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}(a_1-a_3)(a_2-a_4).\end{aligned}$$

(here (b_1,b_2) belongs to the convex hull of (a_1,a_2) and (a_3,a_4).) By applying the same technique in the analysis of f_1 we obtain that $\frac{b_i}{b_j} \leq 2$ for $i,j \in \{1,2\}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(f_2(a_1,a_2) - f_2(a_3,a_4)\right)^2 &\leq \frac{1}{4}\left[2(a_1-a_3)^2 + 2(a_2-a_4)^2 + (a_1-a_3)(a_2-a_4)\right] \\ &\leq \frac{5}{8}(a_1-a_3)^2 + \frac{5}{8}(a_2-a_4)^2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\left(f_2(a_1,a_2) - f_2(a_3,a_4)\right)^2\right] &= \frac{1}{4}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{b_2}{b_1}(a_1-a_3)^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{b_1}{b_2}(a_2-a_4)^2\right]\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}[a_1-a_3]\,\mathbb{E}[a_2-a_4] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}[b_2]\,\mathbb{E}\left[b_1^{-1}(a_1-a_3)^2\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}[b_1]\,\mathbb{E}\left[b_2^{-1}(a_2-a_4)^2\right] + 0 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \times 1.5 \times 3^n \times \frac{1}{3^n}\mathbb{E}\left[(a_1-a_3)^2\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \times 1.5 \times 3^n \times \frac{1}{3^n}\mathbb{E}\left[(a_2-a_4)^2\right] \\ &= \frac{3}{8}\mathbb{E}\left[(a_1-a_3)^2\right] + \frac{3}{8}\mathbb{E}\left[(a_2-a_4)^2\right].\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can take $A = B = \frac{5}{8}$ and $A_1 = B_1 = \frac{3}{8}$. Since $A_1 + B_1 = \frac{3}{4} < 1 = C_x + C_y$ and $2 + A^2 + B^2 < (2 + A_1 + B_1)^2$ the weaker version of condition 2 in the theorem holds by remark 4. However, $A + B = \frac{5}{4} > 1 = C_x + C_y$ and thus condition 2 itself does not hold for this choice of f_2. Condition 3 is straightforward to show and follows from the expressions of the second order derivatives ($\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{4} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial t \partial s} = \frac{1}{4} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial s^2} = -\frac{1}{4} t^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{3}{2}}$). Note also that the partial derivatives of f_2 are not bounded, unlike the partial derivatives of f_1. By plugging in the above variance bound one can show (in a similar fashion as was done for f_1) that $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \geq 3^n \left[\mathbb{E}[X_0] - \frac{\sqrt{1.5}}{4} \frac{3}{3^2 - 2^{-\frac{1.49}{2}}} \operatorname{Var}[X_0] \right]$ and thus $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \geq 1.46 \times 3^n$.

I thank Itai Benjamini, Gady Kozma, Elliot Paquette and Igor Shinkar for helpful discussions.

References

[1] S. Chatterjee, *Superconcentration and Related Topics*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2014.

[2] B. M. Hambly and T. Kumagai, *Diffusion on the scaling limit of the critical percolation cluster in the diamond hierarchical lattice*, Comm. Math. Phys., 295(1):2969, 2010.

[3] M. Khristoforov, V. Kleptsyn, M. Triestino, *Quantum gravity and (min, +)-type recursive distributional equations*, arXiv:1310.6116, 2013.

[4] R. Rossignol, *Noise-stability and central limit theorems for effective resistance of random electric networks*, arXiv:1206.3856, 2012.

Alon Ivtsan, Department of Mathematics, Ziskind Building, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

E-mail address: aloniv@weizmann.ac.il